



[Click to Order](#)

GLOOG Bullet Points:

I think it's a game . . . it's really no more than a game with a staged near-certain outcome.

Is it more for money and less about the concern for the American judicial system's victim, caring less about the outcome and restitution of the American swindled victim? Personally, I believe that's what it is the lion's share of the time.

But on the grand scale in our country, our society has been acutely victimized by the cunningly unscrupulous seduction of the law profession,

I also fully believe that most attorneys don't care where money comes from; they just want to leach onto those who have it, suck all they can get, and let the hosts figure out how to produce it. How much more evidence do we need to see on the local and national stages to come to our senses? Why does it take a debt crisis threatening to shut down the Federal government for people to realize our politicians would still get paid while our military personnel wouldn't?

"We the people" have let it happen. We're all to blame. If it's ever going to get any better, somebody has to first recognize the fundamental problem, expose it, and deal with it in a truly democratic system.

Citizens have had enough with the legal system's lack of integrity, lack of standards and uniformity, lack of justice, lack of common sense and demented concepts of tort, outrageous billing rates, and the transgression of our American judicial system from benevolence to evil.

It's high time the truth be revealed; time to unmask and disclose the fraudulent and unethical actions by attorneys and lawyers who disgrace the judicial system with self-righteous acts of perversion.

I just finished an over-eleven-years-long legal battle within the so-called judicial system, and I'll admit I was deceived for some time, but I started figuring out that I needed to pay closer attention because I became suspicious of some foul play.

Little did I know at the time, my attorney had other ideas of how to handle the case for his benefit. I was a good client. I had been paying my attorney invoices up to near the time of the trial until I discovered what was going on. I ended up going into trial against the company and its associated defendants only to discover one of the most shameful presentations of my evidence was solely at the hands of my attorney who obtained critical evidence illegally that was subsequently barred from trial. In addition, a whole host of other gross actions eventually led me to pursue filing a lawsuit against my very same attorney for fraud, malpractice, malice, conversion, and unethical conduct. I followed my attorney's advice as any good client would

[Why did the post office recall the new lawyer stamps? Because people couldn't tell which side to spit on.](#)

Their standards are a disgrace to humanity, let alone members of the judicial system! You would expect something higher to reflect the outrageous compensation rates, but it is exactly the opposite.

I believe this is a fundamental downfall of our modern society and we're not talking about it. What's worse is that this dubious cunning mentality is entrenched rock solidly in today's politics.

If you want a laugh, check the State Bar in your state and review how many complaints have been filed against attorneys and see what percentage get any action taken against an attorney. The results will shock you.

How much longer do we Americans need to go and how many cases and case examples do we all need to see to recognize that we have a lame duck branch of our government that needs to be woken up and overhauled?

[So, the next time you step into a ballot box for any public figure position and place an "X" for an attorney or lawyer, hopefully you will have the knowledge, wisdom, and good judgment to truly know who you are casting your constitutional power for and wh](#)

The judge addresses Mr. Quinn and asks, Judge DiFiglia: "Mr. Quinn, is this your first trial, by any chance?" Mr. Quinn: "No, your honor." Judge DiFiglia: "Second? Third? What?" Mr. Quinn: "Jury trial or court? Ninth, tenth." Judge DiFiglia: "Ninth, tenth jury trial." Mr. Quinn: "No, not jury trial. Six jury trials." This is a great way for your trial to start, with the judge hazing your attorney and establishing the fact that I hadn't hired a real seasoned trial attorney. I was thrilled to learn that Mr. Quinn had not conducted many trials and developed expertise in handling himself professionally in the courtroom! There was one incompetent example after another that my attorney, Mr. Quinn, just seemed to be out to lunch when it came to practicing law and the judge was quick to point it out for all of us present. Maybe the judge was trying to tell me indirectly that I hadn't paid enough money for a good attorney.

Judge DiFiglia responded, "You know, it would have been nice if we had gotten maybe a motion for summary judgment sometime ago or something to that nature." How about that! Mr. Quinn argues that if I was defrauded, there would not be any such time constraint of filing the lawsuit and that all my investments would be legitimate to claim in the lawsuit. The judge then comments on how nice it would

have been if my attorney had followed normal legal lawsuit protocol and filed a procedural motion for the judge to rule on so we didn't have to go to trial.

I just have to ask, why do attorneys do this sort of thing? Why do they trump up charges in your case and just drop them like they were no longer important or no longer serve any purpose other than to run up a monstrous attorney bill?

Either way, I paid a small fortune for Mr. Quinn's education and I received absolutely no benefit from it, but sure, pure, and expensive embarrassment.

...where did these jokers come from? And most surprising is why the judge isn't threatening Mr. Quinn for setting up this circus stunt to begin with! Why isn't Judge DiFiglia all over Mr. Quinn? I'm going to take the fall, while everybody gets paid out of my pocket . . . now that's justice!

...what I call a fairyland laced with fraud,

Mr. Quinn recommended we take this settlement and walk. That's right. At this point, I had lost so many hundreds of thousands of dollars and they wanted me to be happy with \$3,000 and a certificate for more shares in the same fraudulent company.

[When a person assists a criminal in breaking the law before the criminal gets arrested, we call him an accomplice. When a person assists a criminal in breaking the law after the criminal gets arrested, we call him a defense lawyer.](#)

In the end of the appeal process in January of 2006, Mr. Quinn got a confirmation of the \$660,000 judgment - This is a piece of paper signed by the judge that says the defendant is ordered to pay me this much money . . . this is not a check from the judicial system to me. It's just a piece of paper. That sounds better than nothing, until I tell you that during 2006, I had to hire another attorney to attempt to collect on this judgment. Mr. Quinn wouldn't do anything with the judgment piece of paper. He just said he didn't do collections! I never received one penny from this judgment.

[God decided to take the devil to court and settle their differences once and for all. When Satan heard this, he laughed and said, "And where do you think you're going to find a lawyer?"](#)

This is one thing that pisses off most Americans when it comes to attorneys—they think their performance doesn't matter. Attorneys think they are entitled to get paid outrageous rates even when they screw up this badly.

I fear we are becoming a nation based on telling people what they want to hear rather than telling it like it is.

Attorneys have cast their lying hypocritical ways upon society in an even bigger way—into our democratic government system, too.

Isn't it amazing how attorneys don't want results of their court cases listed somewhere for public viewing? Conversely, the public sure wants to know how many recalls an automobile manufacturer or a

food processor has if it directly affects them.

“Due Process of Law,” That’s not what due process means to me in this country. To me, it’s a joke. Being quite frank about it, it means you have the opportunity to meet, first hand, Mr. Ben Dover and C. Howlett Fields, members of the Dewey, Cheatum, and Howe Law firm.

I would contend we indeed have a fungus among us in America today.

Absolutely no one in the media is talking about the decay of our once heroic virtues that catapulted this infant nation to elite greatness.

Now for another piece of missing American history attorneys, lawyers, judges, politicians, and the media won’t care for you to know about—[the missing original 13th Amendment to the Constitution](#)

[Hear about the terrorist that hijacked a 747 full of lawyers? He threatened to release one every hour if his demands weren’t met.](#)

[Lawyer’s creed: A man is innocent until proven broke.](#)

So, my evidence was totally worthless to him because I wasn’t an attorney. Anything that I said or could say was not to be trusted. I needed to pay another attorney to be present to say what I was prepared to say so Mr. Coleman, the arbitrator, could believe it was based on coming from someone from a far superior world of knowledge. You heard it: “As a matter of law,” my evidence and testimony would fail because it didn’t come out of the mouth of another attorney. Gee, that’s funny folks, because before this whole arbitration process took place I went on the arbitration website and printed off all, and I mean all, the rules and regulations for arbitration, and I didn’t find any such law or laws! There was not one word about having to have an expert witness for my case. An Arbitrator obviously isn’t smart enough to be able to decide or render a decision on his own whether or not evidence exists so they have to rely on someone else’s opinion. How’s that for a joke?

[What’s the difference between a Lawyer and a Liar? The pronunciation.](#)

Arbitration. I call this a good, old-fashioned raping. I was slapped in the face procedurally and so belittlingly by the Arbitrator and struck down by such an “interim ruling” because I was a layperson and my evidence just “cries for expert testimony” and was not even going to be considered as a result! Yet I was expressly thanked for sitting and taking this like a professional? The word rape does list one of its definitions as any gross violation, assault, or abuse.

I spent months on the process of preparing for this hearing, gathering the truth. I had about 30 pages of formalized questions to ask Mr. Quinn. I spent an unrealistic amount of money in copy costs, binders, special order tabs, postal costs, hotel costs, airfare, car rental, gas, meals, etc., in addition to the hearing fees, to be told my evidence just couldn’t be considered. You have no idea what that does to your soul.

[What do honest lawyers and UFOs have in common? You always hear about them, but you never see them.](#)

This signed document/retainer agreement, which was the last agreement Mr. Quinn signed, never was considered or weighed in this arbitration process. Why? Because attorneys are above the law. They can sign documents that they draft and you are bound to them, but draft one yourself and have them sign it and it means they just received it and it doesn't mean a thing contractually.

So, folks, just who is it that sets these standards? Does anybody know? How do they get established? Who approves of these standards—does anybody?

Also, by their own standards, a layperson, one who is deemed nonprofessional and inexperienced according to Webster's Dictionary, simply has no relevant opinions that could possibly give weight to the standard of care or negligence on behalf of any lawyer. If this is truly the case with the judicial system, and it appears this is the case, then according to this societal group of so-called professionals, who could possibly ever be smart enough to even know when you were defrauded, a victim of negligence, a victim of malpractice, or a victim of a malicious crime, because if you're not a doctor or a lawyer, by golly, you are just not smart enough to know you got taken, period!

We have a dual standard when it comes to the legal system. I'd call the newly evolved era of our judicial system carefully coordinated, pitifully orchestrated, laced with falsification and deception, internally mocked as imperviously impenetrable, impermeable and invincible, as it applies to prosecution of attorneys.

Why do you suppose doctors of all various types of specialties, who equally profess to be professional, give advice to patients, but arbitrators and judges can't give advice to others as well? Why is that? Are they above the law? Or is it that they just don't know the law and they don't want anybody to know it and that might be embarrassing? They don't want to be liable for giving false or misleading statements when representing the law, even though they charge like they know everything about the law and are supposed to be representing the judicial system.

So, we can review our classroom lecture for the day and claim we have learned that within the judicial system and the Code of Ethics that legal advice cannot be given to either party, correct? We need to keep legal advice as a mystery and secret from the public so we can paradoxically manipulate the law or laws as attorneys and lawyers so choose, or so it appears to be to me. For tomorrow's assignment class, go home, research, and write down how many other professions, trades, or jobs you can think of in America that the individual is paid for what they do and are equally not required or expected, like judges, arbitrators, and attorneys, to give advice as to their knowledge in their field of business. We can discuss their respective general hourly rates tomorrow also. Class dismissed.

So nearly \$60,000 in attorneys' fees, an additional year of interest to accrue on the arbitration judgment, and he ends up negotiating nothing. Now I'd call Mr. Goonan a guy that indeed busts his ass. For what, I just can't say. That was as far as an American citizen could go using the so-called court system in the civil courts. Nothing more could be done.

You never have seen a group of attorneys perform better than monitoring their performance depositing your money in their accounts!

...standard, how bad does it have to get before Americans wake up from the hypnotic state of nirvana? Will it take a new world American Gestapo type of Federal agents to show up unexpectedly at your door step to wisp your naïve carcass off to jail for being caught using illegal incandescent light bulbs or for not showing your papers that you are buying government health care? How many other Americans need to experience the same crap before we get into gear and start judicial reform,

[What do you have when a lawyer is buried up to his neck in sand? Not enough sand.](#)

If your attorney won't take your case on a contingency fee basis instead of an hourly one, then they expect to get paid even when they screw up, regardless of how bad! So, why should they care?

Your attorney is doing you a disservice making you give your testimony first if you are the plaintiff. Never let an attorney do this to you! I'm convinced it is a proven part of the game they play, and I do mean play, with you, your money, and your case, by playing you on the witness stand, versus the real person who should be up there—the defendant!

You are just a money tree to them. The more they can shake you, the more money they will get out of you.

... the statistics show overwhelmingly that only one in five winning civil trial judgments collect restitution, in other words, only one in five ever see any money returned from the defendant. If there were ever a false impression associated with civil courts, this is one. That is something attorneys won't openly disclose to new clients. Why is that? Restitution just isn't a part of the game attorneys and judges in civil justice give a hoot about.

...civil judgments aren't worth the paper they're printed on. Civil court judgments are just another joke in the American judicial system.

Mr. Quinn's retainer agreement for further clarification and understanding of the impact and full ramifications of his "Statement of Insurance Coverage": ... Why don't attorneys just call it what it is—malpractice insurance instead of errors and omissions insurance?

Mr. Quinn's retainer agreement, which by law resultantly denied me my right to one of the basic founding principles and articles of the very Constitution of the United States of America—my right to a jury trial.

I was naïve for thinking my documented evidence against Mr. Quinn would be fairly considered and weighed in any such judicial oriented forum as in Arbitration, let alone in his own state and backyard of local judges.

[A man was prosecuted. The judge asked him, "Don't you need a lawyer?" To which he replied, "No, I don't need one. I'm going to tell the truth."](#)

I still believe in a little simple daily oath, "Do unto others as you would have them do unto you."

Sadly and truthfully, now, when I'm in public citing the "Pledge of Allegiance" to the American flag, I can no longer finish the last words "with liberty and justice for all," because I don't believe it! I believe corruption is far too prevalent and blazingly obvious for this to be true.

Now, how and why was it that my local police department turned me away and instructed me to go get an attorney, that it was a civil matter, and the officer would not even write up an incident report? Yet, another state's police department eagerly and immediately took my call and was on it like invaded bees around their beehive. How ironic is it that simply in another police precinct, the incident took on a whole new face? From what was deemed a civil matter in one police precinct requiring me to leave, go home, and spend hordes of money to hire an attorney, in the other precinct it was dramatically opposite in the attitude aiding and assisting me which took flight to a full blown "criminal" matter which takes on a totally different judicial attitude with fabulous results that not only met but exceeded my expectations! There are absolutely no standards within the judicial system today.

...result. It strikes me staggeringly numb as to why \$2,000 in a slightly different setting can land someone in jail with all the associated punishment and treatment. Yet conversely, you have witnessed the exact gross opposite experience with attorneys and the civil system with a case that was hundreds of times larger. The losses in this case were, suffice it to say with all the investment losses, attorney fees, and miscellaneous fees—a million dollars.

I am convinced there is absolutely no equality of justice in our American judicial system today.

... It turns my crank to witness liars and cheats do it and get by with it. I believe our system needs to stop making cupcakes for the perpetrators and get back to doling out some common sense punishment for their crimes. If you do the crime, you should do the time—and attorneys should be no exception!

Attorneys have established a dual system of prosecution of justice for which they consider themselves above the law and America has let it happen.

The entire system of disciplining judges and attorneys needs to go in the toilet.

If it weren't for lawyers, we wouldn't need them.

After all, it was the great Thomas Jefferson who said, "It is the trade of lawyers to question everything, yield nothing, and to talk by the hour."

Order Attorneys Above The Law at:

