

We Need A Name Change!

Author : F.William Houraney

Date : February 11, 2014

Let's all be honest, finally. Of course honesty is sometimes very unwelcome and nasty but it is never-the-less necessary. Also I think we all can agree that respect is something that should be earned, not titled.

With this in mind, we need to redefine what truly is [The Founders greatest mistake](#); The 'Supreme' Court. Now these elevated lawyers would fight to the death if we were to change their titles to anything less than 'Supreme' because that's exactly what they truly feel that they are. Not only did they graduate law school, *which automatically makes them better than us*, but these 9 guys actually were appointed to the highest Court in the land! And after all, it is their opinion that dictates what all Americans must do and how they must live; The Laws of Nature, The Constitution and The Judeo-Christian Foundation be damned...

OK, So let's rename them so that they remain 'Supreme' but also so that their name can more honestly reflect what exactly they represent:

Now that we've settled that, here's another example of why this new title is so deserved...

"Once again, the U.S. Supreme Court determined, by a 5-4 vote, that we don't live under the rule of law in America. Instead, we have a "living Constitution" that can only be interpreted by the all-knowing, all-seeing, semi-divine arbiters of right and wrong. It determined that lawmakers and representative government are irrelevant." - Joseph Farah WND - See Post below...

Joseph Farah over at WND not only runs one of the most honest and popular American websites, but this guy just never fails to display that age old and long lost talent of combining knowledge with common sense. It is a fast disappearing expertise that not only drives a point home in the debate, but it produces a conclusion that's irrefutable;, that is unless you totally disregard the facts, the history and common sense. Wait! That's right. That's exactly what the anti-Americans, aka liberal/progressives, do in any and all debates!

"But that's not the way it will be in America in the future. As of last month, we have a new reigning Politburo governing all of America. We have nine infallible, lifetime, unaccountable rulers who know better than the people, better than the states, better than the legislators." - Joseph Farah

What's the argument against polygamy?

Exclusive: Joseph Farah challenges same-sex marriage advocates, high-court justices

Posted on July 8, 2013 by Joseph Farah

Did any homosexuals ever go to jail for committing same-sex marriage? Of course not. That's part of the absurdity of the same-sex marriage argument that laws defining marriage violate equal protection laws. They do not.

Anyone in the United States who wants to get married to a member of the opposite sex has an

equal right and equal protection to do just that. But do you know there are people in prison in the United States today for practicing polygamy? Some of them, in fact, have been incarcerated for a very long time.

Take the case of Tom Green, for instance. He's a Utah man with five wives who served six years in prison for his crime.

Now, I don't like what Tom Green did. I don't approve of it. But what can a U.S. Supreme Court majority that says banning same-sex marriage is a violation of the equal protection clause of the Constitution say to Tom Green and other proponents and practitioners of polygamy?

In other words, what is the argument against polygamy in 2013?

I haven't heard one from any proponent of same-sex marriage in a long time. When I debated same-sex marriage proponents years ago, one of them suggested to me there just wasn't any demand for polygamy. I found that response shocking. The truth is that there is far more demand for polygamy in the United States and worldwide than there is for same-sex marriage. It's not even close.

So why is one "lifestyle" affirmed by the popular culture, the political class and the judiciary and the other is ignored – even to the point of jailing those who dare to practice it? This is not a rhetorical question. I really want an answer from someone who believes the right, just, moral course of action is to redefine marriage as an institution between any two people, regardless of their sex.

It's a question that deserves an answer as we march, without thought, into a brave new world of sexual revolution, casting aside 6,000 years of human tradition inspired by God's law and an institution that has formed the cornerstone of civilization.

[Read Complete Post at WND](#)

Also See

[And Away We Go...](#)